```
THE COURT: Is Mr. Day back? Have you finished with
 1
 2
     Judge --
 3
                         They told me to come here.
               MR. DAY:
               THE COURT: Come here first? Okay. All right.
 4
 5
          Case No. 3:13-446-P, United States versus Wayne Sweeney.
 6
               MR. LEAL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. George Leal
 7
     for the United States.
 8
               THE COURT: Mr. Leal, good afternoon.
 9
               MR. DAY: Carl Day and Larry Finstrom for the
10
     Defense, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Finstrom and Mr. Day.
12
          And you are Wayne Joseph Sweeney?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: And Mr. Sweeney, you appeared on
15
     February the 18th, earlier this year, 2014, before Magistrate
16
     Judge Ramirez. You entered a plea of quilty to a one-count
17
     indictment that accuses you of conspiracy to distribute a
     controlled substance analogue.
18
19
          We are now here on a sentencing hearing, and the
20
     Probation Office has prepared a presentence report. And have
21
     you had the opportunity to review that report with your
22
     attorneys?
23
                               Yes, Your Honor.
               THE DEFENDANT:
               THE COURT: You understand all of the information in
24
25
     these reports?
```

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do.
2	THE COURT: And counsel, you have gone over the
3	presentence report with your client and explained the
4	presentence report to him?
5	MR. FINSTROM: We have, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And you are satisfied that Mr. Sweeney
7	understands all of the information in these reports.
8	MR. FINSTROM: We do, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And you have filed no objections
10	to the presentence report. Is that correct?
11	MR. FINSTROM: All of our concerns about the
12	presentence report were encompassed in the 11(c)(1) agreement,
13	Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And then, Mr. Leal, I believe you
15	also have no objections. You filed a written statement
16	indicating you had no objections. Is that still your
17	position?
18	MR. LEAL: That is correct, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And then I have also received your
20	motion for downward departure, 5K, and then your supplemental
21	motion for downward departure.
22	Before we get there, let me go ahead and accept the
23	findings of the Probation Office as contained in the
24	presentence report. I will adopt those as the findings of the
25	Court in this case.

And, according to the presentence report, the offense level the 41, the Criminal History Category is V, the Guideline range is 360 months to life. There is an 11(c)(1)(C) agreement for a sentence not in excess of 120 months, and that is before consideration of the Government's 5K.

And then also, Mr. Day and Mr. Finstrom, I have received your motion to reduce the offense level by two levels pursuant to the new amendments. I believe the Probation Office -- Did they not take that into account?

MR. FINSTROM: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, if it is over -- if it is still a level 38, he would not benefit --

THE COURT: Would not make a difference. Okay.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Correct.

MR. FINSTROM: Your Honor, I quoted that Freeman case in our motion, and there are some other cases, two Supreme Court cases, and there just was a case that came out December the 3rd out of the Seventh Circuit, there hasn't been anything else come out, but the Supreme Court cases on that crack cocaine reduction said that where there is a base offense level 11(c)(1), the Court accepts the 11(c)(1), that the 11(c)(1) is a consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the two points come off the 11(c)(1), they don't come off

the base offense level. All of the case law, because it started on November 1st, is regarding crack cocaine cases, but I can't find any reason that the 780 amendment wouldn't be applied in the same way as they applied the crack cocaine cases, and we would argue to the Court that Wayne is entitled to the two points off of the 11(c)(1) off of the 5K1, as we outlined in our motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Any position on that?

MR. LEAL: Judge, the Government's position would be that under the Guidelines as they existed before November 1st, 2014, the base offense level was a 38, because the amount actually calculated or translated into marijuana from the synthetic cannabinoids is approximately 120,000 pounds of marijuana -- 120,000 kilograms of marijuana, as set out in paragraph 33. It was a 38 before, it remains a 38, so the Government's position is that particular case law doesn't apply here.

THE COURT: Anything else on that? That appears to be correct. And ultimately if I grant the 11(c)(1)(C) then you are going to get the benefit of that in any event.

MR. FINSTROM: If the Court wants to read *Freeman* or Dorsey or the case that came out December the 3rd, I have them, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you will leave them with Kevin, I will take a look at those.

Mr. Leal, then -- So the offense level is 41. The base offense level the 38, but the offense level is 41, so it would come down to a 39 if we took that off, if we applied those.

But you filed your motions for downward departure. I will hear from you on those.

MR. LEAL: Judge, based upon the original motion that I filed, which contains information I don't want to go into here, I would ask, first off, that the Court follow the plea agreement, the 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, and find that that is an appropriate plea agreement of 120 months. And then based on the supplemental motion, Your Honor, I would ask that the Court grant the Defendant an additional 12 months downward departure and sentence him to 108 months, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Anything on that?

MR. FINSTROM: Your Honor, this, I think, was the first synthetic cannabis bust in Dallas County. There has been a series of them since then. Wayne was relying on the legality of the sales under state law. All of the sales were made on his cash register. There was a tab on them, there was a record for the Government to determine what he sold, there has been a forfeiture, substantial multi-million dollar forfeiture which roughly corresponds to what his benefit was out of selling the stuff. He has provided all the information regarding where he bought the stuff. He has assisted the

Government in some investigations that resulted in the 5K1.

You know, Wayne told us, told the Government, told the police I think when he got arrested, if anybody would have told me it was illegal, I would have quit selling it. And it is an unusual situation in that I think there are very few drug conspiracies where I felt that the forfeiture in any way approximated what the benefit was to the Government. That is probably one of the problems with drug cases.

We would ask the Court to consider in this case that with the forfeiture, that he has had a substantial attempt to bring the Government back to the position they were before the offense occurred, and it leaves him basically without funds, although he is still operating his business.

I think that all the people that are in the courtroom now are support people for Wayne. He has a substantial number of people in the community he is interested in. Some of the people are from churches that he has helped. All of that has been submitted to you in letters.

THE COURT: And I have received those letters and I have read the letters.

MR. FINSTROM: And we would just ask the Court to consider that in making a determination about what the proper sentence is.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sweeney, anything you wish to state?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

Your Honor, family, and friends, I am very sorry for having put all of you through this process.

I would like to apologize to the Court for having to spend the hard-working taxpayers' dollars on behalf of the United States government. I was raised on welfare and put myself through college and it was not easy.

For the past six plus years I have been dry and sober from the demon I allowed to dictate my life and that has been alcohol. I have accomplished many things since I stopped drinking. I own and operate four retail outlets for the past nine years and I employ 11 great people.

I moved to Mexico five and a half years ago and have made Cancun my permanent home, and this is where I will return.

I have made it a practice to live a clean and productive lifestyle since becoming alcohol free. And I have been able to give back by helping several individuals start up their own small businesses in Mexico and countless others who needed a hand up to start their life on a productive course themselves.

I cannot find the words to even remotely express how I regret more than anything ever getting involved with the sale of this product. My company prior to -- My company has been profitable for many, many years prior to taking the decision to sell this product. I have had all my property taken from me with a combined value that exceeds \$7 million. I am almost

bankrupt. Making a decision to sell this product from my retail store has proven to be the single worst decision I have ever made in business and I would never do it again. It was for 15 months out of nine years of owning and operating a very profitable company without the sale of this product.

In addition to everything that has been taken from me, just over a year ago my mother suffered a heart attack and has never fully recovered. It is my biggest fear, Heaven forbid, something should happen to her while I am locked up. I would never forgive myself. I account for 60 percent of my mother's financial support and she relies on my assistance.

It has not been ordered by the Court, however I pay \$750 a month support for my minor daughter. I also file and pay my government taxes. As a result of having \$4.3 million in liquid assets seized, I am left with a \$1.7 million tax liability on the seized money.

On behalf of my family and everyone who cares and supports me, I ask you to please, please show me leniency as you impose my sentence today.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Leal?

MR. LEAL: Your Honor, as the Court has before it, I certainly don't dispute that Mr. Sweeney has been cooperative, as noted in the motions that the Court has before it. I think

if the Court looks at the Footnote No. 1, the Court can gather that the Government anticipates that Mr. Sweeney will continue to cooperate.

The only response I have, Your Honor, in regards to the sales of the particular product, there was testimony at the preliminary detention hearing that some of this product actually -- the product was actually kept under the counter out of view of people that were the buying public, and so you had to kind of go there and ask for it and they would pull it out from underneath the counter. And the question that the Government had for at least one of the witnesses that testified was, if you knew the product was legal, why are you keeping it under the counter. That is tantamount to basically if you have Apple computers and iPods, and that is how you are making your money and you have got those hidden away, there is no way for people to know you are selling them.

But regardless of that, Your Honor, I will stand by the Government's motions and will tell the Court that I think that is an appropriate sentence in this particular case, the 11(c)(1)(C) and then the downward departure requested, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Finstrom, anything else?

MR. FINSTROM: Your Honor, even though the stuff may have been under the counter, there is no question the

customers knew he had it. There were big signs up on the wall saying he was selling legal cannabis, and I don't think he was attempted to hide the fact that he was selling it. I think it was well known. And unfortunately, you know, he had a line of people coming in to buy it because they knew it was there. So I don't think there was any need to hide it. Wayne is saying he also did substantial advertising.

But we feel like he has recompensed the Government substantially for what happened, and we just ask to consider the -- you know, under the 5K1 stuff, he did some really substantial stuff on behalf of the Government, and consider that --

THE COURT: And he has, as is contained in the motion. But, on the other hand, he has gotten a significant reduction. I mean, his minimum under the Guidelines is 360 months. You are down to 108 months. So you have received, certainly a lot of consideration from the Government in exchange for your substantial assistance. You have provided a significant amount of assistance. And as Mr. Leal pointed out, potentially a Rule 35 motion still that we will deal with.

I will accept the 11(c)(1)(C) agreement and find that the agreement is reasonable in this case, even though it is a significant reduction from the Guideline range, in light of the substantial assistance you have rendered to the Government

1 in this case.

I have accepted the agreement, then, and it will be the judgment of the Court that you be sentenced to the custody of U.S. Bureau of Prisons, Mr. Sweeney, for a term of 108 months.

That will be followed by a three-year term of supervised release.

I will not order a fine.

Restitution doesn't apply.

There is a \$100 mandatory special assessment that has to be imposed in each case. That will be imposed in your case.

Following your release from custody, you will be on this supervised release which you will have certain conditions you have to follow, including reporting to a Probation officer.

Your Probation officer will go over these conditions with you and explain them to you.

Among the conditions are that you not commit any other violations of law, whether it be federal, state, or local law.

That you not illegally possess or use any unlawful controlled substance.

That you cooperate in the collection of DNA, as directed by your Probation officer.

Do not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any dangerous weapon.

Submit to one drug test within 15 days of your release from custody; at least two drug tests thereafter, as directed

by your Probation officer.

Provide to your Probation officer any requested financial information.

Participate in a program, whether it be in-patient or out-patient, approved by you Probation officer, for the treatment of narcotic, drug, or alcohol dependency. This will include testing to determine whether or not you are using or abusing any type of a substance.

You will be ordered to not use any alcohol, intoxicant, or drug while you are on this supervised release and while you are going through this treatment. You will be ordered to make a monthly payment of at least \$50 per month to help pay for the cost of these services.

And lastly, following your release from custody report in person to the Probation Office in the district where you are released. Report within 72 hours of your release. They will get you started on this supervised release and go over these conditions with you.

I have accepted the plea agreement that you entered into with the Government in this case, Mr. Sweeney. As I explained to you back in February -- I am sure Magistrate Judge Ramirez explained to you back in February that as part of your plea agreement with the Government you waived your right of appeal to a large extent in this case. To the extent that you have a right of appeal that you have left that you wish to exercise,

speak with your attorneys about doing that.

If you are unable to hire an attorney to represent you on appeal, we will appoint an attorney to represent you at no cost. We will furnish you copies of the transcript of today's hearing as well as the hearing on February the 18th before Judge Ramirez. Those will be furnished at no cost. Speak with your attorneys about exercising what right of appeal you may have left here.

Mr. Finstrom, anything else we need to address here?

MR. FINSTROM: Your Honor, the presentence report reflects a long addiction history with alcohol and marijuana, and we would ask the recommendation from the Court that he be -- have an opportunity to take the intensive drug treatment program.

THE COURT: And I will make that recommendation. I am sure your attorneys have explained to you, Mr. Sweeney, my recommendation isn't binding on the Bureau of Prisons. They will make their own evaluation and make their own decision, but I will be glad to make that recommendation. Hopefully at some point they will allow you to participate in that while you are serving your time.

Anything else, Mr. Finstrom?

MR. FINSTROM: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Leal?

MR. LEAL: Your Honor, there was a preliminary order

1	of forfeiture entered in this case on August 5th, 2014. I
2	would ask that the Court contain all those items in the
3	judgment and enter a final order as well, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And we will order that those items that
5	are listed in that preliminary order of forfeiture be
6	forfeited to the Government. That was also part of your plea
7	agreement that you had this forfeiture agreement with the
8	Government. Those items will be forfeited to the Government.
9	Your interest in those items will be forfeited.
10	Anything else?
11	MR. LEAL: Nothing further, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Sweeney, you are remanded to the
13	custody of the Marshal, then, to serve your sentence. Good
14	luck to you.
15	Court is adjourned.
16	(End of hearing.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	